Properties of Operators in Norm-Attainable Algebras and their Applications

[1]Okwany, I. [2]Okelo, O,[3] Ongati, O.

[1,2,3] Lecturers, School of Pure and Applied Sciences, Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science and Technology, Kenya

Correspondence: *bnyaare@yahoo.com*

Abstract

Let H^n be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and $\delta_{P,}$ be a generalized derivation induced by the orthogonal projections P and Q. In this study, we have shown that $\delta_{P,}$ has a lower bound and is utmost equal to the sum of norms of P and Q and also that $\delta_{P,Q}$ is Hemitian and is bounded above by its numerical radius. Finally, the research gave power bounds for numerical radii of the $\delta_{P,}$.

Check for Updates

Okwany, I. Okelo, O,Ongati, O

Accepted: 16 Sep 2021

Published: 21 October 2021

Introduction

Studies on the norm of inner derivations lead [7] to introduce the idea of S-universal operators and criteria for the universality for subnormal operators i.e. an operator $T \in B(H)$ such that $\| \delta_T \mid \tau \| = 2d(T)$, for each norm ideal τ in $B(H)$ and $d(T) = inf_{\lambda \in C}\{\| T - \lambda \|\}$. Bonyo [7] established the relationship between δ , δ_P and $\delta_{T,P}$ on (H) where the operators T and P are S-universal. To be precise; supposing that $T, P \in (H)$ are S-universal, then $\| \delta_{T,P} \mid B^{(H)} \| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\| \delta_T \mid B(H) \|) + \| \delta_P \mid B(H) \|$ and the norm of a generalized derivation implemented by two S-universal operators is less than or equal to half the sum of the norms of inner derivations implemented by each operator [7]. The norm of a derivation δ_T as a mapping of (H) onto itself is given by $inf \| T - \lambda I \|$ [42]. Kadison, Lance and Ringrose [60] showed that if T is selfadjoint and δ_T maps a subalgebra of $B(H)$ into $B(H)$, then $\| \delta_T \| = inf\{2 \| T - A' \|: A' \in \theta'\}$ where θ' is the commutant of the subalgebra $\theta \subset B(H)$. McCarthy [42] used an example of a self-adjoint operator to show that the hypothesis that $((\theta) \subset \theta)$ is inessential, taking θ to be the subalgebra of diagonal matrices with $\theta' = \theta$. Later on, Bonyo [6] investigated the relationship between diameter of the numerical range of an operator $T \in (H)$ and norms on inner derivations implemented by T on the norm ideal, and further considered the application of S-universality to the relationship. The relationship in [6] determined using the fact that a generalized or inner derivation is an operator and as such, one can calculate its numerical range as well as the norm whenever applicable. Indeed, it was noted in [6] that for any operator $T \in (H)$ and norm ideal τ in $B(H)$, $diam(W(T)) \leq \| \delta_T \mid \tau \|$ where $'diam'$ is the diameter. Furthermore, it was shown that if $T \in (H)$ is S-universal, and τ a norm ideal in $B(H)$, then $diam(W(T)) \leq \| \delta_T \mid \tau \|$. In [61], Rosenblum determined the spectrum of an inner derivation, $\delta_T = TP - PT$. Kadison, Lance and Ringrose [60] investigated derivations δ_T acting on a general C^*-algebra and which are induced by Hermitian operators. Stampfli [75] studied a derivation δ_T acting on an irreducible C^*-algebra B(H) for all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H. The geometry of the spectrum of a normal operator T was used in [60] to show that the norm of a derivation is given by $\| \delta_T \| = in\{2 \| T - \lambda \|: \lambda \in C\}$ using the geometry of the spectrum of normal operator T. Stampfli [75] raised the question on the ability to compute the norm of a derivation on an arbitrary C^*-algebra. Kaplansky [26] later used the density theorem to prove that the extension of derivations of a C^*- algebra to its weak-closure in (H) [26] is achieved without increasing norm. Gajendragadkar [26] computed the norm of a derivation on a von Neumann algebra. Specifically, it was shown that if φ is a von Neumann algebra of operators acting on a separable Hilbert space H and $T \in \varphi$ and δ_T is the derivation induced by T, then $\| \delta_T \mid \varphi \| = 2\, inf\{T - Z : Z \in C\}$ where C is the center of φ [19]. Given an algebra of bounded linear endomorphisms $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ for a real or complex vector space $\mathcal{X}$, it was shown that for each element $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$, an operator $\delta_T(A) = TA - AT$ is defined on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\| \delta_T \| \leq 2\, inf_\lambda \| T + \lambda I \|$. Furthermore if $\mathcal{X}$is a complex Hilbert space then the norm

equality holds [21]. Johnson [21] used a method which applies to a large class of uniformly convex spaces to show that this norm formula does not apply for ℓ^p and $L(0,1)$, $1 < p < \infty, p \neq 2$. For L^1 spaces, the formula was proved to be true in the real case but not in the complex case when the space has three or more dimensions. The derivation constant $(\mathcal{A})$ has been studied for unital noncommutative C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ [4]. Archbold [4] studied $K(M(\mathcal{A}))$ for the multiplier $M(\mathcal{A})$ for a non-unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$and obtained two results; that $K(M(\mathcal{A})) = 1$ if $\mathcal{A} = C^*(G)$ for a number of locally compact group G and $K(M(\mathcal{A})) = \frac{1}{2}$ if G is (nonabelian) amenable group. Salah [67] showed that in both finite and infinite dimensional vector spaces, the norm of a generalized derivation is given by $\| \delta_{A,} \| = \| A \| + \| B \|$ for a pair $A, B \in B(H)$. Okelo in [51] and [50], showed the necessary and sufficient conditions for a derivation δ_T to be norm-attainable. Several other results exists on the inequalities of derivations and commutators on C^*-algebras. For instance Kittaneh [31] used a polar decomposition $T = UP$ of a complex matrix T and unitarily invariant norm $|||.|||$ to prove the inequality $\|\|| \, |UP - PU|^2 \|\|| \leq \| \, |T^*T - TT^*| \, \| \leq \| UP + PU \|\|| UP - PU \|$. Williams [79] proved that if a commutator $TX - XA = \alpha I$ is such that A is normal, then the norm relation $\| I - (TX - XT) \| \geq \| I \|$ holds. Anderson [2], generalized Williams inequality and proved that $\| P - (TX - XT) \| \geq \| P \|$. Later, Salah [67] proved that if T and P are normal operators, then $I - (TX - XP) \geq \| I \|$. The norms of derivations implemented by S-universal operators have been shown to be less than or equal to half the sum of inner derivations implemented by each operator in [7] and in particular was proved that, $\| \delta_{T,P}$
$\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\| \delta_{T-\lambda} \| + \| \delta_{P-\lambda} \|)$ and $\| \delta_{T-\lambda,P-\lambda} \| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ($\|$

$\delta_{T-\lambda} \| + \| \delta_{P-\lambda} \|$). Using unitaries and non-orthogonal projections, Bhatiah and Kittaneh [5] determined max-norms and numerical radii inequalities for commutators. Some authors have used the concept of classical numerical range to study different classes of matrices of operators. For instance, many alternative formulations of (p,q)-numerical range $Wp,q(A) = \{Ep((UAU^*)[Q])$ for a unitary U where $1 \leq p \leq q \leq n$ for an $n \times n$ complex matrix X, with $q \times q$ leading principle submatrix $X[q]$ and the pth elementary symmetric functions of the eigen values of

$[q]$ [38]. Chi-Kwong Li [37] extended the results of these formulations to the generalized cases, gave alternative proofs for some of them like convexity and even derived a formula for (p,q)- numerical radius of a derivation as $r_{p,q}(T) = max\{|\mu| : \mu \in Wp,q(T)\}$. Mohammad [44] applied positive operators in the proof of a similar result. Orthogonal projections being bounded operators, have extensive uses on implementation of derivations and construction of underlying algebras of the derivations. Vasilevski [76] studied the applications of -algebras constructed by orthogonal projections to aimark's ilation theorem. Spivack [74] used orthogonal projections to induce a derivation on von Neumann algebras. In [39] Matej used mutually orthogonal projections acting on a C-algebra to prove that any local derivation is a derivation.

Basic Definitions

***Definition* 2.2.0.** An elementary operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be norm-attainable if there exists a unit vector $_0 \in H$, such that $\| Tx_0) \| = \| T \|$.

Definition 2.2.1. A Hilbert-Schmidt operator T with orthonormal basis $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ has a Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|.\|_2$ is defined by $\| T \|_2 = (\sum_{i \in I} \| Te_i \|^2)$

***Definition* 2.2.2**. Let Hn denote the complex vector space of all $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices, endowed with the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = Tr(B^*A)$, $_*$ where Tr(.) is the trace on the positive matrices and B is the adjoint of B, then:

(i). the trace norm of , is defined by, $\| T \|_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} s_i T$.

(ii). the spectral norm of T, also is defined by, $\| T \| 2 = max\{s_i T\}$, where siT are the singular values of T, i.e. the eigenvalues of $| T | = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

***Definition* 2.2.3**. A tensor product of H with K is a Hilbert space P, together with a bilinear mapping $\varphi : H \times K \to P$, such that

(i). The set of all vectors $\varphi(x, y)(x \in H, y \in K)$ forms a total subset of P, that is, its

closed linear span is equal to P ;

(ii). $\langle \varphi(x_1, y_1), \varphi(x_2, y_2)\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2\rangle\langle y_1, y_2\rangle$ for $x_1, x_2 \in H, y_1, y_2 \in K$.
We refer to the pair (P, φ) as the tensor product.

Remark 2.2.4. Let X, X', Y and Y' be vector spaces over some fields and $P : X \longmapsto X'$, and $Q: Y \longmapsto Y'$ be operators. Then there is a unique linear operator $P \odot Q : X \otimes$

$Y \to X' \otimes Y'$ defined by

$(P \odot Q)(X \otimes Y) = P(x) \otimes Q(y), \ \forall x \in X, y \in Y$. The function $f : X \times Y \to X' \otimes$

Y' defined by $f(x, y) = P(x) \otimes Q(y)$ is bilinear and so by the universal property of tensor products, there exist a unique operator $P \odot Q$ for which the above equation holds. The map $P \odot Q$ is called the tensor product of P and Q.

Results and Discussion

Lemma 3.0.0. Given that $P, Q, X \in (H)$ are matricial operator on a finite dimensional separable Hilbert space H^n then $PX - XQ$ is also matricial.

Proof.
Let $[p_{ij}]$, $[q_{ij}]$ and $[x_{ij}]$ denote the matrices of the operators P, Q and X respectively. Suppose that $v_i = v_1, \ldots, v_n$ forms a basis of H^n over a field $\mathbb{K}$, then a simple computation shows that for $(P - Q)v_i = P\, v_i - Q\, v_i$

$$= \sum_j p_{ij} v_j - \sum_j q_{ij} v_j$$

$$= \sum_j (p_{ij} - q_{ij}) v_j$$

which can also be written more compactly as $\sum_j \gamma_{ij} v_j$ where γ_{ij} is the finite difference $p_{ij} - q_{ij}$for every i and j. For a given $\lambda \in$ then it is also clear that $\lambda[p_{ij}] = [\lambda p_{ij}]$. We adopt the order $v_i T$ (instead of $T v_i$) for the image of an arbitrary operator T which acts on H_n for $v_i \in H_n$. Thus, $v_i TX = (v_i T) = (\sum_j p_{ij} v_j X = \sum_j p_{ij}(v_j X)$. But $v_j X = \sum_k x_{jk} v_k$ and so by substituting in the equation above yields $v(PX) = \sum_j p_{ij}(\sum_k x_{jk} vk) = \sum_k (p_{ij} x_{jk}) vk$ so that $[PX] = \alpha_{ij}$ where for each i and j, $\alpha_{ij} = \sum_k p_{ij} x_{jk}$. Thus, we can also find $\beta_{ij} = \sum_i x_{jk} q_{ki}$ so that $\gamma'ij = \alpha ij - \beta ij = \sum k p ij x jk - \sum i\, x jk q ki$.

Theorem 3.0.1 Let $\delta : B(H) \to B(H)$ be a generalized derivation defined $by\ \delta_{P,}(X) = PX - XQ$ for orthogonal projections P and Q induced by q_n, then

$\| \delta_{P,} \| = \{\sum | p_n |^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\sum | q_n |^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\| \delta_{P,Q}(X) \| = \| P \| \| X \| - \| X \| \| Q \|$

Proof.

Taking $\|f_n\| = 1$ for a fixed $P, Q \in P_0(H)$ then $\delta_{P,Q}(f_n) = pf_n - f_n q$. Suppose that p_n and q_n which induce P and Q respectively are bounded, then $\delta_{P,Q} fn = pf_n - f_n q$ can take the form of a diagonal matrix and $\sum_n (p_n f_n - f_n q_n)$ is also bounded.

Now

$$\| \delta_{P,}(fn) \|2 = \| \sum n(pnfn - fnqn) \|2$$

$$\geq \| \sum_n p_n f_n \|^2 - \| \sum_n q_n f_n \|^2$$

$$= \sum_n | p_n|^2 \| f_n \|^2 - \sum_n | q_n |^2 \| f_n \|^2$$

$$= \{\sum_n |p_n|^2 - \sum_n | q_n |^2\}\{\sum_n \| f_n \|^2 \}$$

so that on taking the supremum over both sides of the inequality gives

$$\sup\{\| Pf_n \_ f_n Q \| : \| f_n \| = 1\} \geq \| \delta_{P,Q}(f_n) \|$$

$$\geq \{\sum_n |p_n|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\sum_n |q_n|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Conversely the following relation hold

$$\{\| \sum n(p_n f_n - f_n q_n) \|\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\{\{\sum_n |p_n|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\sum_n | q_n |^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\{\sum n \| f_n \|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Which implies that the following also hold.

$$\{\| \sum n(p_n f_n - f_n q_n) \|\} \leq \{\sum_n |p_n|^2 \| f_n \|^2 - \sum_n |q_n|^2 \| f_n \|^2\}$$

$$= \{\sum n \| p_n f_n \|^2 - \sum n \| q_n f_n \|^2\}$$

$$\leq \{\| \sum n(p_n f_n - f_n q_n) \|\}$$

So

$sup\{\| Pf_n \_ f_n Q \| : \| f_n \| = 1\} = \| \delta_{P,}(f_n) \|$ and for an arbitrary $X \in B(H)$, then for $X = \sum_n X_n f_n$

$$\| \delta_{P,Q}(X) \| = \{\sum n \| p_n \|\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{\sum n \| X_n f_n \|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\sum n \| X_n f_n \|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{\sum n \| q_n \|\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \| P \| \| X \| - \| X \| \| Q \|$$

The following is a discussion of the norms of derivations in the context of tensor product of operators. We show that indeed δ P,Q is linear and bounded in this context.

***Remark 3.0.2**.* Suppose that $H = \ell^2$ is infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, then ℓ^2 is unitarily invariant to the Hilbert space tensor product $\ell^2 \otimes \ell^2$ Let $\in$

(H^n, H_1), $Q \in B(H^n, H_2)$ and an arbitrary $X : H^n \to H^n$ for $H^n = H_1 \oplus H_2 =$

$H_{11} \oplus H_{22}$. There is a unique linear operator $P \odot X \in B(H^n \otimes H^n, H_1 \otimes H_1)$, called the tensor product of P and X satisfying $(P \odot X)(x \otimes y) = P(x) \otimes X(y)$ and similarly $(X \odot Q)(y \otimes x) = X(y) \otimes Q(x)$. Moreover, there is a unique injective linear operator $\theta: B(H^n, H_1) \otimes B(H^n, H_2) \to B(H^n \otimes H_1), B(H^n \otimes H_2)$ which satisfy $\theta(P \otimes X - X \otimes Q) = P \odot X - X \odot Q$.

Theorem 3.0.3 Let $P \in B(H^n, H_1)$, $Q \in B(H^n, H_2)$ and an arbitrary $X: H^n \to$ for $H^n = H_1 \oplus H_2 = H_{11} \oplus H_{22}$ then $\delta_{P,Q}$ is linear and bounded.

Proof.

By the definition of derivations, the map $\delta_{P,}(X) = P \otimes X - X \otimes Q : B(H_1 \otimes H_{11}) \to (H_2 \otimes H_{22})$ is defined by

$$P \odot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) - X \odot Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \otimes x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i) \otimes X(y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i) \otimes Q(y_i)$$

for all $x \in H^n$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i \in H_1 \otimes H_{11}$. Then

$$P \odot X - X \odot \left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i - \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right) = (P \odot X - X \odot Q)\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right)$$

$$= (P \odot X - X \odot Q)\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) + (P \odot X - X \odot Q)\left(\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right)$$

$$= P \odot X\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) - X \odot Q\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) + P \odot X\left(\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right) - X \odot Q\left(\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right)$$

$$= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i) \otimes X(y_i) - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(x_i) \otimes Q(y_i) + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x'_i) \otimes X(y'_i) - \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(x'_i) \otimes Q(y'_i)\ \alpha P \odot X\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) - \alpha X \odot Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) + \beta P \odot X\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right) - \beta X \odot Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right)$$

$$= \alpha(P \odot X - X \odot Q)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) + \beta(P \odot X - X \odot Q)\left(n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \otimes y'_i\right)$$

Now for the case of boundedness,

$$\| (P \odot X - X \odot Q)\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\right) \| = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i) \otimes X(y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(x_i) \otimes Q(y_i)\right|$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i) \otimes X(y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(x_i) \otimes Q(y_i) \right\|$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i) \otimes X(y_i) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(x_i) \otimes \right.$$

$Q(y_i) \|$

$$\leq \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i) \|\| X(y_i) \| + \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(x_i) \|\|$$

$X(y_i) \|$

$$\leq \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} P \|\| (x_i) \|\| X \|\| (y_i) + \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X \|\|$$

$(x_i) \|\| Q \|\|$ $y_i \|$

$$\leq \| P \|\| X \|\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i y_i \| + \| X \|\| Q \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i y_i \|$$

$$= (\| P \|\| X \| + \| X \|\| Q \|) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i \|\| y_i \|.$$

Letting $(\| P \|\| X \| + \| X \|\| Q \|) = M$, thus M is the upper bound for $PX - XQ$

Theorem 3.0.4. Let $X \in B(H)$ and orthogonal projections $P, Q \in B(H)$ then $\| P \odot X - X \odot Q \| = \| P \|\| X \| - \| X \|\| Q \|$.

Proof.

$$\| P \odot X - X \odot Q \| = sup \left\{ \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i \otimes y_i) \| = 1 \| P \odot X - X \odot Q (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) \| \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{ \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i \otimes y_i) \| = 1 \| P \|\| X \|\| (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) \| - \| X \|\| Q \| \| (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i)) \| \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \| \}$$

$$= \| P \|\| X \| - \| X \|\| Q \|$$

Conversely,

$\| P \odot X - X \odot Q \| = sup\{ \| P \odot X(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) - X \odot Q(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) \| \ \forall \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y \}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) \neq 0$.

Then

$\| P \odot X - X \odot Q \| \geq \left\{ \frac{\| P \odot X(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) - X \odot Q(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) \|}{\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i \otimes y_i) \|} \ \forall \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes \right\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i) \neq 0 = \| P \|\| X \|\| - \| X \|\| Q \|\|$.

Thus $|P \odot X - X \odot Q \| \geq \| P \|\| X \|\| - \| X \|\| Q \|\|$.

In the sequel, the research will consider inequalities for the norms of derivation discussed. The inequalities considered will be on generalized derivations and the results generalize to the cases of inner derivations.

Theorem 3.0.5. Suppose that $P, Q \in P_0(H)$ are matricial operators, then

$$\| \delta_{P,Q}(X) \|^2 = (\sum_{ij=1}^{2} \sum_{ij=1}^{2} |p_i x_{ij} - x_{ij} q_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(i=j)} + (\sum_{ij=1}^{2} \sum_{ij=1}^{2} |p_i x_{ij} - x_{ij} q_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(I=j, i \leftrightarrow j)} + (\sum_{j=1}^{2} |q_j x_{3j}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ on } \oplus H_3$$

Proof. Suppose that P and Q are positive diagonal $n \times n$ matrices with eigenbases

p_n and qn respectively for $n \geq 1$, with $p_n(1 - p_n^*) = 0$ and $q_n(1 - q_n^*) = 0$. Given arbitrary $\in B(H)$, then, $= \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and an arbitrary $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix}$, with

$p_1 \geq p_2 \geq 0$ then

$$PX - XP = \begin{bmatrix} p_1x_{11} - x_{11}p_1 & p_1x_{12} - x_{12}p_2 & p_1x_{13} \\ p_2x_{21} - x_{21}p_1 & p_2x_{22} - x_{22}p_2 & p_2x_{23} \\ -x_{31}p_1 & -x_{32}p_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_1x_{11} - x_{11}p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2x_{22} - x_{22}p_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_1x_{12} - x_{12}p_2 & p_1x_{13} \\ p_2x_{21} - x_{21}p_1 & 0 & p_2x_{23} \\ -x_{31}p_1 & -x_{32}p_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So that for a commutative $B(H)$ then

$$PX - XP = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_1x_{12} - x_{12}p_2 & p_1x_{13} \\ p_2x_{21} - x_{21}p_1 & 0 & p_2x_{23} \\ -x_{31}p_1 & -x_{32}p_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$PX + XP = \begin{bmatrix} p_1x_{11} + x_{11}p_1 & p_1x_{12} + x_{12}p_2 & p_1x_{13} \\ p_2x_{21} + x_{21}p_1 & p_2x_{22} + x_{22}p_2 & p_2x_{23} \\ x_{31}p_1 & x_{32}p_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_1x_{11} + x_{11}p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2x_{22} + x_{22}p_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_1x_{12} + x_{12}p_2 & p_1x_{13} \\ 2x_{21} + x_{21}p_1 & 0 & p_2x_{23} \\ x_{31}p_1 & x_{32}p_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$QX - XQ = \begin{bmatrix} q_1x_{11} - x_{11}q_1 & q_1x_{12} - x_{12}q_2 & q_1x_{13} \\ q_2x_{21} - x_{21}q_1 & q_2x_{22} - x_{22}q_2 & q_2x_{23} \\ -x_{31}q_1 & -x_{32}q_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} q_1x_{11} - x_{11}q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q_2x_{22} - x_{22}q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_1x_{12} - x_{12}q_2 & q_1x_{13} \\ q_2x_{21} - x_{21}q_1 & 0 & q_2x_{23} \\ -x_{31}q_1 & -x_{32}q_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly, for a commutative (H) then $QX - XQ =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & q1x12 - x12q2 & q1x13 \\ 2x21 - x21q1 & 0 & q2x23 \\ -x31q1 & -x32q2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$QX + XQ = \begin{bmatrix} q1x11 + x11q1 & q1x12 + x12q2 & q_1x_{13} \\ q2x21 + x21q1 & q2x22 + x22q2 & q_2x_{23} \\ x31q1 & x32q2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} q1x11 + x11q1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q_2x_{22} + x_{22}q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q1x12 + x12q2 & q1x13 \\ q2x21 + x21q1 & 0 & q2x23 \\ x31q1 & x32q2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We obtain an operator

$$(PX - XQ) = \begin{bmatrix} p1x11 - x11q1 & p1x12 - x12q2 & p1x13 \\ p2x21 - x21q1 & p2x22 - x22q2 & 0 \\ -q1x31 & -q2x32 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} p1x11 - x11q1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2x_{22} - x_{22}q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p1x12 - x12q2 & p1x13 \\ 2x21 - x21q1 & 0 & 0 \\ -q1x31 & -q2x32 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now on introducing the norm function to the equality results into the norm inequality;

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} p1x11 - x11q1 & p1x12 - x12q2 & p_1x_{13} \\ p2x21 - x21q1 & p2x22 - x22q2 & 0 \\ -q1x31 & -q2x32 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} p_1x_{11} - x_{11}q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2x_{22} - x_{22}q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p1x12 - x12q2 & 0 \\ p_2x_{21} - x_{21}q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| - \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -q1x31 & -q2x32 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|$$

Application of Hilbert-Schmidt norm to this, gives us the following

$$\left(\sum_{ij=1}^{2} \sum_{ij=1}^{2} |pixij - xijqj|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(i=j)} + \left(\sum_{ij=1}^{2} \sum_{ij=1}^{2} |pixij - xijqj|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(i=j, i\leftrightarrow j)} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} |q_jx3j|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Lemma 3.0.6. Let $P \in P_0(H)$ and X is compact, then $s_j(PX) = s_j(XP) \leq \| X \| s_j(P)$

Proof.
$s_j(PX) = s_j(XP)$ is immediate from the commutativity of the singular values and $s_j(XP) \leq \| X \| s_j(P)$ follows from the correspondence $s_j(.) \equiv \|.\|$, and the inequality,

$||| PX ||| \leq \| P \| \| X \|$.

Theorem 3.0.7. Let (H) be a C^* _algebra, $P_0(H^n)$ a commutative subalgebra of $B(H)$ and a map $\delta_{P,Q}$, such that $\delta_{P,Q}: P_0(H^n) \rightarrow B(H)$. Let $\delta_{P,}: M_n(P_0(H^n)) \rightarrow M_n(H^n)$ be a linear map between matricial operator spaces $M_n(P_0(H^n))$ and $M_n(H^n)$. For n-tuples of $_{P,Q}$, whereby $\delta_n: M_n[P_0(H^n)] \rightarrow M_n[B(H)]$, then $\delta_n[(P,Q)] = [\delta(P,Q)], \forall P, Q \in M_n[P_0(H^n)]$ and $[P] = [P_1, P_2]$, $[Q] = [Q_1, Q_2]$. Moreover, $\| \delta_{P,} \| \leq \| \delta_{P,Q} \|_{CB}$ holds.

Proof.
We apply diagonal matrices $[P]$ and $[Q]$. For $n = 1$, then by definition of δ_n, δ_1 and δ are coincidental [20] hence, $\| \delta \| = \| \delta 1 \|$. We now proceed to give proofs

when $n = 2$ and when $n = 3$. For $= 2$, let $[P], [Q] \in M_2[P_0(H^n)]$, $j, k = 1,2$, then for $\delta_2: M_2[P_0(H^n)] \rightarrow M_2[B(H)]$, we now have,

$$\delta_2 P, Q = \delta_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} P_1X - XQ_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2X - XQ_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (P_1,Q_1) & 0 \\ 0 & (P_2,Q_2) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\left\|\left(\begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)\right\| = \left\|\begin{bmatrix} P_1X - XQ_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2X - XQ_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|$$

$$= \left\|\begin{bmatrix} (P_1,Q_1) & 0 \\ 0 & (P_2,Q_2) \end{bmatrix}\right\|$$

$$= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \| \delta((P_j, Q_k) \|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{(Hilbert-Schmidt norm)}$$

$$= (\| \delta((P_1, Q_1) \|^2 + \| \delta((P_2, Q_2) \|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\geq [\| \delta((P_1, Q_1) \|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \| ((P_1, Q_1) \|$$

$$= \| \delta_1((P_1, Q_1) \|.$$

Therefore,

$\| \delta_2 \| = su\{\|\delta_2(PQ) : [PQ] \in M_2[P_0(H^n)\|\}$

$\geq su\{\| \delta_1((P_1,Q_1) \|\} = \| \delta_1 \|$
and hence $\| \delta_2 \| = \| \delta_1 \|$.

When $n = 3$, $\delta 3 \begin{bmatrix} P_1X - XQ_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2X - XQ_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_3X - XQ_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{(P_1,Q_1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{(P_2,Q_2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{(P_3,Q_3)} \end{bmatrix}$

which implies that

$$\|\delta_3 \begin{bmatrix} P_1X - XQ_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2X - XQ_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_3X - XQ_3 \end{bmatrix}\| = \|\begin{bmatrix} \delta_{(P_1,Q_1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{(P2,Q2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{(P_3,Q_3)} \end{bmatrix}\|$$

$$= [\ \textstyle\sum^3_{j=1} \sum^3_{k=1} \ \| \delta((P_j, Q_k)\|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= (\| \delta((P_1, Q_1) \|^2 + \| \delta((P_2, Q_2) \|^2 + \| \delta((P_3, Q_3) \|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= [\ \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \ \| \delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}\|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \| \delta([\delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}]) \|.$$

This implies that

$\| \delta_3 \| = su\{\| \delta_3[\delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}] : [\delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}] \rightarrow M_3[P_0(H^n)] \|\} \geq sup\,\{\|\delta_2[\delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}] : [\delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}] \rightarrow M_2[P_0(H^n)]\|\|\} = \| \delta_2 \|$ and therefore, $\| \delta_3 \| \geq \| \delta_2 \|$.

Lastly, consider $\delta_{n+1} : M_{n+1}[P_0(H^n)] \rightarrow M_{n+1}[(H)]$ defined by $\delta_{n+1}[\delta((P_j, Q_k)] = [((P_j, Q_k)]$ for all $j, k = 1, \ldots, n+1$.

We obtain,

$$\| \delta_{n+1}\left[(PQ)_{j,k}\right] \| = \| \left[\delta(PQ)_{j,k}\right] \|$$
$$= [\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \ \| \delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}\|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\geq [\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ \| \delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)} \|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \| \delta_n[\delta(_{(P_j,\, Q_k)}] \|.$$

Therefore, on taking supremum on both sides of the inequality above we get $\|\delta_{n+1} \| = \| \delta_n \|$. Application of the property of complete boundedness of the norm of δ, we further get $\| \delta \|_{CB} = sup\{\| \delta_n \| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which implies that $\| \delta \|_{CB} = \| \delta_n \| \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\| \delta \| = \| \delta \|_C$, this completes the claim.

Example 3.0.8. Let $\delta: M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a derivation defined by $\delta_{P,Q}(X) = PX - XQ$. Let an operator P, be defined by $(e_j) = e_j$ on a finite dimensional Hilbert space H, for an orthonormal basis e_j, $j = 1,2. . ..$

We can then set the matrix for an arbitrary operator X and that of P as,

$$X = [aa1121 \quad aa1222], \quad P = [e01\ 00].$$

It is clear by simple calculation that

$$PX - XP = [\ _1\, x_{11} - x -_{21} x_{11} e1\ 0 \quad e_1\, x_{12}\ ].$$

$$p_1 \qquad 0$$

Now suppose that H has a unique direct decomposition given by $H = ranP \oplus kerP$ and e_1 is an identity in the range of P, then $PX - XP$ becomes $PX - XP =$

$[\quad 0 \qquad e^1\, x_{12}\ ]$. We can find a unitary $U = [e_{0}1 \qquad -^0 e_2]$such that

$$-x_{21}e_1 \qquad 0$$

$\frac{1}{}$

$$[-x0_{21}e_1 \quad e^1\, 0^{x_{12}}\ ] = (UX - XU)$$

2

$$= \frac{1}{2}(UX\ - XU^*)$$

By triangle inequality,

$$\frac{1}{2} \parallel (UX - XU) \parallel \leq \frac{1}{2} \parallel (UX + XU) \parallel \leq \frac{1}{2} \parallel UX \parallel + \frac{1}{2} \parallel XU \parallel = \parallel XU \parallel = \parallel X \parallel.$$

Now considering another operator Q similar to P, we can get another orthonormal

basis f_j, $j = 1,2...$ such that Q is defined by $Q = [f^j \cdot \qquad ^0].$

$$0 \quad 0$$

Let also

$\parallel X \parallel = \{\sum_n | \alpha_n |^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1, \ \parallel PX \parallel = \{\sum_j | e_j |^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = P \parallel QX \parallel = \{\sum_j | f_j |^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} =$

Q and so $\parallel PX - XQ \parallel \leq \parallel PX + XQ \parallel \leq \parallel PX \parallel + \parallel XQ \parallel.$

Lemma 3.0.9. Suppose that for an arbitrary $\in B(H)$ and P_1X, P_2X, XQ_1, $XQ_2 \in C_2$ then, n^p $^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{2} \parallel P_i \parallel_p^p \leq \parallel \sum_{i=1}^{2} P_iX_i \qquad \parallel^p \leq \parallel_p \quad P_iX_i \parallel^p_p$ for $0 < p \leq \infty$ and the reverse inequalities hold for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. If $_1$ and α_2, are nonnegative real eigenvalues for P_1 and P_2, then

$n^{p-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^p \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^p$. The inequalities follow, respectively, from the concavity of the function $f(t) = t^p, t \in [0,\infty)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$, and the convexity of the function $(t) = t^p, t \in [0,\infty)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proposition 3.1.0. Let $P = P_1, P_2, Q = Q_1, Q_2 \in C_p$ and an arbitrary $X = X_1, X_2 \in B(H)$ for some $p > 0$. Then $\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| P_iX_i - P_jX_i \|_p^p + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j \|_p^p\ p +$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| X_i - X_j \|_p^p \geq (D_{PX-XQ}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| P_iX_i - X_jQ_j \|_p^p + D_{Q-X}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| X_iQ_i - X_j \|_p +_p$$

$D_{X-P}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| X_i - P_jX_j \|_p^p) - (\| \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} (P_iX_i - X_jQ_i) \|_p^p + \| \sum_{i=1}^{2} (X_iQ_i - X_i) \|_p^p +$
$\| \sum_{i=1}^{2} (X_i - P_iX_i) \|_p^p)$ for $0 < p < 2$.

Proof.

We define a constant D_P by $D_P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi(P_iX_i)$ where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} (P_iX_i) = \{10,, ((PP_{ii}XX_{ii})) \neq= 0\ 0;$$

and $D_P = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi(X_iQ_i)$ where

$$(X_iQ_i) = \{01,, ((XX_i^iQQ_i^i)) \neq= 0\ 0;$$

We prove the case for 0 < p < 2 and infer the result onto the other cases. We have

$\sum_{i,=1}^{2} \| P_iX_i - X_jQ_j \|_p^p + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j \|_p^p + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| X_i - X_j \|_p^p +$
$(\| \sum_{i=1}^{2} ({}_iX_i - X_iQ_i) \|_p^p + \|\| \sum_{i=1}^{2} (X_iQ_i - X_i) \|_p^p + \| \sum_{i=1}^{2} (X_i - P_iX_i) \|_p^p) =$
$2(\sum_{1<i<j<2} \| P_iX_i - P_jX_j \|_p^p + \sum_{1<i<j<2} \| X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j \|_p^p + \sum_{1<i<j<2} \| X_i - X_j \|_p^p) + (\| \sum_{i=1}^{2} (P_iX_i - X_iQ_i) \|_p^p + \| \sum_{i=1}^{2} (X_iQ_i - X_i) \|_p^p + \| \sum_{i=1}^{2} (X_i - P_iX_i) \|_p^p) =$
$2(\sum_{1<i<j<2} \| |P_iX_i - P_jX_j|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} + \sum_{1<i<j<2} \| |X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} +$
$\sum_{1<i<j<2} \| |X_i - X_j|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2}) + (\| \sum_{i=1}^{2} |P_iX_i - X_iQ_i|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} + \| |\sum_{i=1}^{2} (X_iQ_i -$
$X_i)|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} + \| \sum_{i=1}^{2} |X_i - P_iX_i|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2}) \geq \| \sum_{1<i<j<2} |P_iX_i - P_jX_j|^2 +$

$\sum_{1<i<j<2} |X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} |(P_iX_i - X_iQ_i)|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} + \| \sum_{1<i<j<2} |X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j|^2 +$

$\sum_{1<i<j<2} |X_i - X_j|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} |(X_iQ_i - X_i)|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} + \| \sum_{1<i<j<2} |X_i - X_j|^2 +$

$\sum_{1<i<j<2} |P_iX_i - P_jX_j|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} |X_i - P_iX_i|_p^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} = \| \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |P_iX_i - X_jQ_j|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} +$

$\| \sum_{i,=1}^{2} |X_iQ_i - X_j|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} + \| \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |X_i - P_jX_j|^2 \|_{p/2}^{p/2} \geq D_{PX-XQ}^{\frac{-1}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \| P_i X_i -$

$X_jQ_j|2\|_{pp//2}^{2} + D_{XQ-X}^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \; 2 \; \sum_{i,=1}\|| X_iQ_i \; 2 \; p/2 \; \frac{p}{2}-1 \; - X_j| \|_{p/2} \; D_{X-PX}\sum 2_{i,j=1}\||X_i -$
$P_jX_j|2\|_{pp//22} =$
$2\| P_i - D_{PX} \; {}^{--1}_{-XQ} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \; pp \; p \; + D_{X-QX}^{\frac{-}{2}-1}\sum_i^2 \; Q_j\|_{pp,=1}\| X_iQ_i \; + D_{X-PX}^{\frac{-}{2}-1}\sum_i^2 \; - X_j\|_{pp,j=1}\| X_i - P_jX_j\|_{pp}$

Proposition 3.1.1. Let $P_{1,2}$, $Q_1,Q_2 \in C_P$ for some $p > 0$. Then $\sum^2_{i,=1}\|P_iX_i -$

$P_jX_j\|_{pp} + \sum 2_{i,=1}\|X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j\|_{pp} \geq 2.2_{p-2}\sum 2_{i,j=1}\|P_iX_i - X_jQ_j\|_{pp} - 2\|\sum 2_{i=1}(P_iX_i -$

p
$X_iQ_i)\|_p$ for $0 < p \leq 2$.

Proof.

We set $Q^{\frac{p-2}{2}}_{-X}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} = 2 D_Q^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \|X_iQ_i\|_{pp}, D_X{}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}_{-P}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\|X_i - P_jX_j\|_{pp} =$
$2D_P^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\|P_iX_i\|_{pp}$.

pp Now $0 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \; \|P_iX_i - \; + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \; p-2 \; - D_{PX-XQ}^{\frac{-}{2}} \sum_{i,}^{2} \; P_jX_j\|_p \; \|X_iQ_i -$
$X_jQ_j\|_{pj=1}\|P_iX_i -$

$ppp \; X_jQ_j\|_p - 2\left(D^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_i^2 \; XQ \; =1\| \; \frac{p-2}{2} \sum^2 \; \| \; \|^p \; \sum^2 \; X_iQ_i\|_p + D_{PX} \; j=1 \; P_j X_j \; p\right) + (\; i=1$
$(P_iX_i - X_iQ_i)_p +$

$pppp \; \sum_{i=1}^{2} \; + \|\sum_i^2 \; = \sum_{i,}^{2} \; + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \; \| \; X_iQ_i\|_p = 1P_iX_i\|_p)$
$j=1\|P_iX_i - P_jX_j\|_p\|X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j\|_p -$

$pp \; 2D_{PX-XQ}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \; \|P_iX_i - \; + 2\|\sum_{i=1}^{2} \; \|_p + (D_{PX-XQ}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\sum_{i,}^{2} \; X_jQ_j\|_p \; (P_iX_i -$
$X_iQ_i) \; j=1\|P_iX_i -$

$-2ppp \; - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \; p \; X_jQ_j\|_p \; (P_iX_i - X_iQ_i)^p \Big) + \Big(\|\sum_i^2 \; - 2D^{\frac{-}{2}} \sum_i^2 \; p \; =1X_iP_i\|_p \; PX \; =1\|P_iX_i\|_p) +$

$\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\|X_iQ_i\|_{pp} - 2D \; {}^{p-2} \; iXQ \; =1^{\frac{-}{2}} \sum^2\|X_iQ_i\|_{pp}). D_{P-Q}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\|P_iX_i - X_jQ_j\|_{pp} - \|\sum_{i=12} \; (P_iX_i -$

p
$p/2 \; \|_p = D_{PX-XQ}^{\frac{-}{2}-1}\sum_{i,}^{2} \; {}^2\| \; - \|\sum_{i\,2}^{2} \; {}^2\| \; \leq 0_2 \; p \; p/2$
$X_iQ_i) \; j=1\||P_iX_i - X_jQ_j| \; p/ \; =1|P_iX_i - X_iQ_i| \; p/ \; .$

p

Since $2D_P^{\frac{p}{2}-1}$ is greater than or equal to 1, we deduce from lemma 4.20 that

p

$\sum_{i=1}^{2}$ $-2D$ $\sum$ $\leq$ $2\|\sum_i$ $-\sum_i$ ≤ 0 p $\frac{p}{2}-1$ 2 p

p 2 p

$\|$ $P_iX_i\|_p$ PX $i=1\|P_iX_i\|_p$ $=1P_iX_i\|_p$ $=1\|X_iP_i\|_p$.

$p-2$

pp $\sum_{i=1}^{2}$ $\leq 2D^{\frac{2}{2}}$ $\sum_i^2$

Similarly, we have $\|$ $X_iQ_i\|_{pPX}$ $=1\|X_iQ_i\|_p$. It therefore implies that

$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}$ $+\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}$ $\geq 2D_{PX-XQ}^{\frac{2}{2}}\sum_{i,}^{2}$

$p-2ppp$

$\|P_iX_i - P_jX_j\|_p$ $\|X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j\|_p$ $j=1\|X_iQ_i - X_jQ_j\|_p -$

ppp $2\|\sum_{i=1}^{2}$ $\|$ $\sum\geq 2.2^{p-2}$ $\frac{2}{i,}$ $-2\|\sum_{i=1}^{2}$ $\geq$

$(P_iX_i -$ $X_iQ_i)_p$ j $=1\|P_iX_i -$

$X_jQ_j\|_p$ $(P_iX_i - X_iQ_i)\|_p\, D_{PX-XQ}.$

Conclusion

In this paper, the study has shown that the norm of a derivation, induced by orthogonal projections via tensor product is linear, bounded and continuous. Furthermore, there is inequalities of such a derivation induced by n-tupled orthogonal projections.

References

Anderson J. H. and Foias C., Properties which Normal Operators Share with Normal Derivation And Related Operators, Pacific J. Math., 61 (1975), 313-325.

Archbold R. J., Eberhard K. B. and Sommerset D. W. B., Norms of Inner Derivations for Multiplier Algebras of C∗-Algebras and Groups of $C*$-Algebras, Journal of Functional Analysis, 262 (2012), 2050-2073.

Bhatia R. and Kittaneh F., Inequalities for Commutators of Positive Operators, J. Funct. Anal., 250 (1) (2007), 132-143.

Bonyo J. O. and Agure J. O., Norms of Inner Derivations on Norm Ideals, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 4(14) (2010), 695-701.

Bonyo J. O. and Agure J. O., Norms of Derivations Implemented by S-Universal Operators, Int. math. forum, 5(5) (2011), 215-222.

Hong-Ke Du, Yue-Qing Wang, And Gui-Bao Gao, Norms of Elementary Operators, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 136(4) (2008), 1337-1348.

Johnson ,B. E., Continuity of Centralizers on Banach Algebras, Amer. J. Math., 91 (1969), 1-10.

Kaplansky I. M., Modules Over Operators Algebras, Amer. J. Math., 75 (1953), 839- 858.

Kittaneh F., Linear Algebra and its Applications, Elsevier Science Inc., New York (1994).

Li C. K. and Woerdeman H., A Problem on the (1,k) Numerical Radius, Linear and Multilinear Algebra.

Li C. K. and Tsing N. K. and Uhlig F., Numerical Range of an Operator on an Indefinite Inner Product Space, International Linear Algebra Society, 1 (1996), 1-17.

Matej B., Characterizations of Derivations on Some Normed Algebras with Involution, Journal of Algebra, 152 (1992), 454-462.

McCarthy,C. A., The Norm of a Certain Derivation, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 53(2) (1974).

Mohsen E. O., Mohammad S. M. and Niknam A., Some Numerical Radius Inequalities for Hilbert Space operators, J. Inequalities and Applications, 2(4) (2009), 471-478.

Okelo N. B., Agure J. O., Ambogo D. O., Norms of Elementary Operator and Characterizaion of Norm-attainable operators,Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 4(24) (2010), 1197-1204.

Okelo N. B., Agure J. O. and Oleche P. O., Certain Conditions for Norm-Attainability of Elementary Operators and Derivations, Int. J. Math. And Soft Computing, 3(1) (2013), 53-59.

Richard V. Kardison, Derivations of Operator Algebra, Annals of Mathematics, 83(2) (1966), 280-293.

Salah M., Generalized Derivations and C∗-Algebras, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, 17(2) (2009), 123-130.

Sommerset D. W. B., Quasi-Standard C*-Algebra and Norms Ofinner Derivations, Journal of Operator Theory 29 (1993), 307-321.

Spivack, M., Derivations on Commutative Operator Algebras, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 32 (1985), 415-418.

Stampfli, J. G., A Norm of a Derivation, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 33(3) (1970), 737-747.

Williams, J. P., Finite Operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 26(1970), 129-135.